DOCUMENT

Report, Embassy of Hungary in North Korea to the Hungarian Foreign Ministry, 13 April 1955.

Source: XIX-J-1-j Korea, 7. doboz, 5/f, 006054/1955.

On 12th April [...] I paid a visit to Soviet Counsellor A.M. Petrov. [...] I told him that I dealt with issues of internal politics, and since there were some issues I did not see clearly, I asked for his advice. These issues were the following: the absence of criticism and self-criticism in Korea, the unchanged personality cult, and secret-mongering. [...] In his view – he emphasized that this was his personal opinion –, *criticism was directed primarily downwards*, there was barely any criticism directed upwards [emphasis in the original]. They speak about it, but they do not practice it, or rather it seems that it is only Comrade Kim Ilsung who practices it. The criticism that is heard is not public but exclusive. [...] In his view, it is a serious error that Comrade Kim Ilsung is surrounded by bootlickers and careerists [emphasis in the original]. They exploit, and rely on, the successes of reconstruction, which undoubtedly exist. Whatever is said by the leader, they accept it without any dispute. Thus, the mistakes are not revealed openly, only in private and belatedly. No one has ever been held responsible for them.

The personality cult has not changed at all, and it is a primary and decisive factor in every mistake [emphasis in the original]. They do not even speak about this question.

In many respects their plans are not realistic but exaggerated [emphasis in the original]. For instance, the grain crop target for 1955 was 4 million metric tons, which was almost double as much as the 1954 crop had been. They wanted to achieve it without any particular investment. When they were reminded of that, they have gradually lowered the plan target, and now it is 2,7 million, which is more or less realistic.

An even greater mistake was made in the appraisal of the 1954 crop. On the basis of the embellished and false reports, the crop was estimated at 3 million metric tons. [...] In effect, as they recently admitted, the crop had been just 2,3 million metric tons. Nevertheless, it is possible that this figure is not correct either. As a consequence, in many places they took as much as 50 per cent of the poor crop, instead of the 23-27 per cent tax in kind enacted by law, from the peasantry by brute force. Thus, the peasantry was left with barely any grain reserve. Moreover, plan targets for compulsory deliveries, set on the basis of the high estimates, were also exaggerated. Private grain trade came to an end, only state organs took over grain at very low state prices. As a consequence of all these, public feeling rapidly deteriorated. In the countryside, *one could already hear strongly dissenting voices* [emphasis in the original] among the peasantry. Hostile elements took advantage of the public feeling, and intrigued. In addition, a number of suicides occurred. Following this, the situation was discussed in private in February, and a number of measures were taken. Grain was purchased from China and the

Copyright 1999-2009 Cold War History Research Center Budapest. All rights reserved.

If cited, quoted, translated, or reproduced, acknowledgement of any document's origin must be made as follows: "Cold War History Research Center Budapest, http://www.coldwar.hu, document obtained from Magyar Országos Levéltár (MOL) [Hungarian National Archives] Budapest, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Top Secret Documents, XIX-J-1-j; The document was obtained and the translation was prepared by Balázs Szalontai for the International Conference "New Central and Eastern European Evidence on the Cold War in Asia"

Budapest, Hungary October 30-November 2, 2003; organized by the George Washington University Cold War Group, Cold War History Research Center Budapest, with support from the Cold War International History Project, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, Washington D.C.

Soviet Union. (As far as we know, they purchased 200 thousand metric tons [of grain].) Compulsory deliveries were halted at once. A part of the delivered grain was given back to the peasantry as a loan. A decision was taken about the establishment of new machine-tractor

stations. These measures eased the problem, but they have not fundamentally changed the situation.

The pace of cooperativization is also far too rapid [emphasis in the original]. In just one year, 30 per cent of the peasantry joined [cooperatives]. [...] In a few cases they admitted that force had been used in the organization [of cooperatives] (probably there had been much more such cases).

The plenum of 1-4th April was also held in private, the reports and debates were not published. [...]

Then Comrade Petrov spoke about the undeniable success of reconstruction. The unfavorable side of the latter is the extremely low standard of living [emphasis in the original]. The average monthly wage of a factory worker of undistinguished performance is 1500 won, whereas a meter of linen costs 300 won, and a necktie 3-500 won. They [the workers] get nothing else on ration but rice [emphasis in the original], only a very narrow stratum gets anything else. They expect the foreign countries to give them everything [emphasis in the original]. In place of a part of the equipment to be sent within the framework of the one billion ruble aid program, the Soviet Union offered to give them consumer goods. The government of the DPRK rejected that, and insisted on the sending of equipments [emphasis in the original]. Nor do they utilize the local sources of raw materials and the secondary products of heavy industry to produce consumer goods.

[...]

There is also a very great shortage of leading cadres and technical experts. Nevertheless, a new generation is certainly emerging [emphasis in the original].

 $[\ldots]$

Dr László Keresztes Chargé d'Affaires ad interim